

Exercise-Based Interventions Aimed at Improving Balance in Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder: A Meta-Analysis

Perceptual and Motor Skills
2022, Vol. 129(1) 90–119
© The Author(s) 2021
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/00315125211060231
journals.sagepub.com/home/pms


Mirjana Djordjević¹, Haris Memisevic² , Srecko Potic³, and Uros Djuric¹

Abstract

Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) often have deficits in motor skills, especially balance. This article presents a meta-analytic review of 15 intervention studies that aimed to improve balance in children and adolescents with ASD. Across these studies, there were 195 participants with ASD for whom pre-intervention and post-intervention balance scores were available. We measured the standardized mean difference (Hedges' g) between their pre-intervention and post-intervention balance scores and found a large, positive effect from these balance interventions (standardized mean difference—1.82 (95% CI [1.34, 2.29]). Various balance intervention procedures were shown to be very efficacious for children and adolescents with ASD. Clearly, balance is a motor skill that is very susceptible to intervention efforts. We also provided recommendations to researchers regarding what information to include when conducting intervention studies.

¹Faculty of Special Education and Rehabilitation, University of Belgrade, Beograd, Serbia

²Faculty of Educational Sciences, University of Sarajevo, Sarajevo, Bosnia and Herzegovina

³High Medical College for Professional Studies "Milutin Milanković", Belgrade, Serbia

Corresponding Author:

Haris Memisevic, Faculty of Educational Sciences, University of Sarajevo, Skenderija 71, Sarajevo 71000, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

E-mail: hmemisevic@gmail.com

Keywords

children and adolescents with autism spectrum disorder, balance, intervention study, meta-analysis

Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is an early-onset neurodevelopmental condition characterized by social and communication deficits and repetitive sensory-motor behaviors; it is associated with a strong genetic component and other causes (Lord et al., 2018). Current studies have estimated the prevalence of ASD at around 1 in 59 children, with males four times more likely than females to be diagnosed with ASD (Baio et al., 2018). Besides deficits in communication and social skills, individuals with ASD often have motor skill deficits in both fine motor skills (Choi et al., 2018) and gross motor skills (Staples & Reid, 2010). Up to 83% of children with ASD have difficulties performing age-appropriate motor skills (Ruggeri et al., 2019). Deficits in motor skills are, in turn, related to problems in adaptive behavior and everyday functioning (Jasmin et al., 2009; MacDonald et al., 2013; Mazefsky et al., 2008; Stone et al., 1999). However, not all motor skills are equally affected by ASD. For example, some studies revealed that static balance is more significantly impaired than other motor skills (Ament et al., 2015; Whyatt & Craig, 2012), suggesting that there is a specificity of motor impairments in ASD that particularly affect balance. Studies on balance control in ASD are interesting from a cognitive science perspective, as they suggest that balance requires a complex interplay of information processing, motor planning and timing, and sequencing of motor muscles (Stins & Emck, 2018). Given the importance of balance to everyday functioning, it is not surprising that many ASD studies have addressed balance. For example, balance was examined in relation to core autistic symptoms such as repetitive behaviors (Radonovich et al., 2013) and the effects of visual feedback on balance (Somogyi et al., 2016). A plethora of studies compared balance between persons with ASD and typically developing persons (Berkeley et al., 2001; Minshew et al., 2004a; Vernazza–Martin et al., 2005). Persons with ASD have shown such reduced postural control that many never reach an adult level of postural control (Memari et al., 2014; Minshew et al., 2004b). Given the frequency and severity of motor coordination deficits, including deficits in balance, some authors believe that these deficits should be classified as core deficits in ASD (Ben–Sasson et al., 2009). Thus, ASD treatment should consider motor performance interventions aimed at improving motor coordination, including gait and balance (Fournier et al., 2010).

Although numerous studies have addressed balance, defining balance has not been a simple task; and there is no universally accepted definition of the balance construct (Pollock et al., 2000). As noted above, balance is a complex motor skill, also referred to as postural control, which can be operationalized as a mechanism by which the human body prevents itself from falling (Ragnarsdóttir, 1996). Balance is closely related to the inertial forces that act on the body and the inertial characteristics of body segments

(Winter, 1995). Balance control requires maintenance of one's center of mass over their base of support (Graham et al., 2015). Balance is usually classified as either static or dynamic. Static balance, as the name implies, requires maintaining equilibrium for a stationary body position, while dynamic balance requires maintaining equilibrium during motion (Davlin, 2004). There have been many methods of assessing balance, with the researcher's goals having determined its measurement across different studies and no single measure having emerged, making the selection of an appropriate balance assessment procedure difficult (Rudolf et al., 2020). Static balance is usually measured by asking participants to stand on a force platform on one leg or by measuring the length of time participants can maintain an equilibrium position (Ricotti, 2011); dynamic balance can be measured in a multitude of ways, but it is typically measured through tasks such as requiring participants to walk on a balance beam (Cinelli & Depaepe, 1984).

Among the many studies of intervention programs/training protocols aimed at improving balance in individuals with ASD, there have been interventions that can be classified as exercise- or sport-based, such as taekwondo, skating, karate, and aquatic exercise (Ansari et al., 2020; Casey et al., 2015; Cheldavi et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2016), videogame-based interventions (Fang et al., 2018; Travers et al., 2018), and sensory-based methods (Case-Smith et al., 2014; Pfeiffer et al., 2011). Some interventions have used new neuroscience technology such as transcranial direct current stimulation to improve balance in children with ASD (Mahmoodifar & Sotoodeh, 2019). Despite this variety among ASD interventions targeting balance, exercise-based interventions have been most common, and previous studies have shown their positive effects on the motor skills of children with ASD, including bilateral coordination, running speed, agility, and balance (Yin & Yin, 2019). Thus, various different physical exercises are often prescribed as a method to improve postural control and reduce the risk of injury in this population (Bressel et al., 2007).

Although several prior reviews have attempted to describe interventions for improving physical fitness and motor skills in children with ASD (Ruggeri et al., 2019; Yin & Yin, 2019), past review efforts considered motor performance generally and did not focus specifically on balance. Also, while reviews of individual studies have shown positive exercise effects, there has been no meta-analytic review to numerically assess the specific efficacy of exercise-based or sports-based interventions on balance among children with ASD. Exercise-based interventions are defined as those in which children make voluntary movements to exercise their muscles against an external force (Howe et al., 2011). Our goals in the present study were (a) to review and evaluate, through meta-analysis, exercise-based intervention studies specifically aiming to improve balance in children with ASD; and (b) to provide recommendations for future research in this area.

Method

Literature Search

For this review, we searched the literature for studies in which motor balance (static, dynamic, or both) was an outcome measure and participants were children and adolescents (aged 0–18 years) with ASD. We searched SCOPUS, PubMed, and Web of Science databases for studies containing the terms “autism,” “autism spectrum disorder/s,” “balance,” “intervention/s,” “training/s,” and “postural control.” Within the articles we initially located, we then further examined referenced articles in those studies to locate any additional relevant studies that we might have first missed. A similar review methodology was previously used by [Memisevic and Djordjevic \(2018\)](#). We did not include abstracts, conference proceedings, dissertations, and publications without full text in English, and we did not collect data on other intervention outcomes (e.g., social skills, other motor skills, and repetitive behavior). Finally, we did not include single participant case studies. A flowchart of this review methodology is presented in [Figure 1](#).

Study Inclusion Criteria

To be selected for this review, research studies needed to fulfill the following criteria:

- (a) The intervention was exercise- or sports-based;
- (b) The protocol was explained in detail (number of participants, methodology description, intervention duration and intensity, etc.);
- (c) Participants were children and adolescents (0–18 years of age) with a diagnosis of ASD;
- (d) Balance (static balance and/or dynamic balance) was an outcome measure;
- (e) There were pre- and post-intervention measures/scores on balance or effect size values for mean differences between pre- and post-test scores;
- (f) The study was published between January 2010–January 2021 in a peer-reviewed journal in English.

Our systematic search produced 15 studies that fulfilled the above-mentioned criteria ([Akyol & Pektas, 2018](#); [Ansari et al., 2020](#); [Arzoglou et al., 2013](#); [Cai et al., 2020](#); [El Shemy & El-Sayed, 2018](#); [Huseyin, 2019](#); [Kim et al., 2016](#); [Lourenço et al., 2015a, 2015b](#); [Najafabadi et al., 2018](#); [Pan et al., 2016](#); [Rafie et al., 2017](#); [Sarabzadeh et al., 2019](#); [Wuang et al., 2010](#); [Zamani et al., 2017](#)); and the first and second authors of this paper agreed on the selection of 12 of these 15 studies (80%) with disagreements for three studies. In one of these, the authors reported post-intervention mean scores (and *SDs*) and compared the intervention group with a control group that did not receive the treatment ([Zamani et al., 2017](#)). Agreement was reached to include that study as the scores of the control and intervention groups were not statistically




Figure 1. Study flow chart.

different at pre-test. A second disagreement was related to a study in which the authors did not report mean scores (and *SDs*) but only the effect size and standardized mean difference (Rafie et al., 2017). We agreed to include this study because it provided sufficient information for the purposes of this meta-analysis. A third disagreement involved a study that reported median values and inter-quartile range on the balance measure (El Shemy & El-Sayed, 2018). We agreed to include that study as well, as we found an approximate way to transform these scores into means (and *SDs*) (Wan et al., 2014). A summary of selected studies is shown in Table 1.

Participants in Selected Studies

Summing the participant sample sizes in these studies, 195 children and adolescents with ASD (aged 3–17 years of age) comprised this meta-analytic review. Gender information was given in 10 studies (155 participants; 120 boys and 35 girls). In four studies (totaling 40 participants), investigators did not state the participants' gender. In

Table 1. Characteristics of the Studies Included in the Review.

Authors	Year	Country	Number of Participants with ASD in		Child's Age	ASD Measures	Intervention	Outcome Measures
			Intervention Group	Control Group				
Lourenço et al	2015a	Portugal	6	—	4–11	—	Trampoline training	Revised edition of the test (BOTMP-2)
Lourenço et al	2015b	Portugal	8	—	4–8	—	Trampoline training	Revised edition of the test (BOTMP-2)
Najafabadi et al	2018	Iran	12	—	5–12	DSM criteria Gilliam Autism Rating Scale—2Ed ATEC to assess the severity of symptoms as well as developmental aspects of ASD	The SPARK program	BOTMP
El Shemy and El-Sayed	2018	Egypt	15	—	8–10	Childhood Autism Rating Scale	Physical therapy program	Revised edition of the test (BOTMP-2)
Sarabzadeh et al	2019	Iran	9	—	6–12	Gilliam Autism Rating Scale—2Ed	Tai Chi Chuan	MABC-2
Arzoglou et al	2013	Greece	5	—	16.8 ± 1.8	DSM criteria	Traditional Greek dances	Körperkoordinationstest für Kinder (KTK)
Akyol and Pektas	2018	Turkey	15	—	9 to 14	—	Gymnastics training combined with music	Flamingo balance test

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

Number of Participants with ASD in								
Authors	Year	Country	Intervention Group	Child's Age	ASD Measures		Intervention	Outcome Measures
Pan et al	2016	Taiwan	11	9.68 ± 1.6	DSM criteria	Chinese version of the autism behavior Checklist	Table Tennis training	Revised edition of the test (BOTMP-2)
Cai et al	2020	China	15	3–6	Social Responsiveness Scale—2Ed	Childhood Autism Rating Scale provided	The mini-basketball training program	Balance beam test from China's national physical fitness measurement
Huseyin	2019	Turkey	16	12–16	Social Responsiveness Scale—2Ed	Diagnosed with autism by the CRC (Counseling and research center	Sport training	Basic Sport skills assessment
Wuang et al	2010	Taiwan	30		—		Simulated developmental horse-riding program	BOTMP
Rafie et al	2017	Iran	10	10.3 ± 2.1	Childhood Autism Rating Scale		Exercise intervention	BOTMP
Zamani et al	2017	Iran	15	8–12	High-function Autism Spectrum Screening Questionnaire (ASSQ)		Gymnastic exercises	Revised edition of the test (BOTMP-2)

(continued)

Table 1. (continued)

Authors	Year	Country	Number of Participants with ASD in Intervention Group	Child's Age	ASD Measures	Intervention	Outcome Measures
Ansari et al	2020	Iran	20	8–14	DSM criteria Gilliam Autism Rating Scale—2Ed	Aquatic exercise intervention & kata techniques program	Modified stork test and heel- to-toe walking test
Kim et al	2016	USA	8	8–14	Diagnosis of ASD made by physician	Taekwondo	NeuroCom balance master

four studies, the authors stated that the diagnosis of ASD was made according to DSM criteria—DSM-IV (Arzoglou et al., 2013), DSM-IV-TR (Najafabadi et al., 2018; Pan et al., 2016), and DSM-5 (Ansari et al., 2020), while in the remainder, diagnostic method was not specified. Co-existing diagnosis were reported only in one study (Pan et al., 2016), in which three participants also had Attention-Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder. On the other hand, some authors made clear what were exclusionary criteria within their studies. These were the absence of serious medical conditions, such as orthopedic or any acute conditions (Najafabadi et al., 2018), absence of auditory, visual, respiratory deficits, fixed deformities of the extremities (El Shemy & El-Sayed, 2018), mental retardation and malnutrition (Sarabzadeh et al., 2019), orthopedic or sensory problems (Arzoglou et al., 2013), existing health problems (Akyol & Pektas, 2018), comorbid psychiatric disorders, a complex neurological disorder (e.g., epilepsy, phenylketonuria, fragile X syndrome, and tuberous sclerosis), visual and auditory disorders, a medical history of head trauma (Cai et al., 2020), uncontrolled seizure, severe self-injurious behavior, congenital hip dislocation, severe sensory impairments (Wuang et al., 2012), obvious physical or developmental impairments (Rafie et al., 2017), motor and physical disabilities (Zamani et al., 2017) and , complex neurologic disorder (e.g., epilepsy, phenylketonuria, fragile X syndrome, and tuberous sclerosis) (Ansari et al., 2020). Three studies (Ansari et al., 2020; Najafabadi et al., 2018; Sarabzadeh et al., 2019) assessed ASD symptom severity with the Gilliam Autism Rating Scale—2nd Edition (Gilliam, 2006), three studies (Cai et al., 2020; El Shemy & El-Sayed, 2018; Rafie et al., 2017) used the Childhood Autism Rating Scale (Schopler et al., 1988), two studies (Cai et al., 2020; Pan et al., 2016) used the Social Responsiveness Scale—2nd Edition (Constantino & Gruber, 2012), and one study (Zamani et al., 2017) used the Autism Spectrum Screening Questionnaire (Ehlers & Gillberg, 1993). The remaining studies did not assess ASD symptom severity with any scale. Although the exact level of the participants' intellectual functioning was not reported in any of the studies, two reviewed studies (Rafie et al., 2017; Sarabzadeh et al., 2019) indicated that exclusion criteria included the presence of intellectual disability and one study reported that its participants had borderline intellectual ability.

Four studies described participants as having mild or mild/moderate autistic symptoms (Ansari et al., 2020; El Shemy & El-Sayed, 2018; Lourenço et al., 2015a; Rafie et al., 2017), while the remainder did not describe ASD severity in their participants. However, no studies described participants with severe autism features, and two studies required their participants to understand and follow verbal instructions (Arzoglou et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2016).

Types of Intervention

Two studies used trampoline training in their intervention (Lourenço et al., 2015a, 2015b), one study used the Sports, Play, and Active Recreation for the KIDS (SPARK) program (Najafabadi et al., 2018), one used a physical therapy program (El Shemy & El-Sayed, 2018), one used Tai Chi Chuan (Sarabzadeh et al., 2019), one used traditional

Greek dance (Arzoglou et al., 2013), one used gymnastics training combined with music (Akyol & Pektas, 2018), one used gymnastic exercises (Zamani et al., 2017), one used table tennis exercise (Pan et al., 2016), one used a mini-basketball training program (Cai et al., 2020), one used sport training (Huseyin, 2019), one used a simulated developmental horse-riding program (Wuang et al., 2012), one used an exercise intervention (Rafie et al., 2017), one used Taekwondo (Kim et al., 2016), and one used both aquatic exercise and kata techniques (Ansari et al., 2020). In Table 2. we present the intervention activities and the duration of the intervention program.

Outcome Measures

For outcome measures, eight of the analyzed studies used Balance/Body Coordination scores from the Bruininks–Oseretsky Test of Motor Proficiency (BOTMP; (Bruininks, 1978; Bruininks & Bruininks, 2005)). More specifically, three studies (Najafabadi et al., 2018; Rafie et al., 2017; Wuang et al., 2010), used the original BOTMP (Bruininks, 1978), while five studies (El Shemy & El-Sayed, 2018; Lourenço et al., 2015a, 2015b; Pan et al., 2016; Zamani et al., 2017) used the revised edition of the test (BOTMP-2; (Bruininks & Bruininks, 2005)). The BOTMP and BOTMP-2 are standardized, norm-referenced measures of fine and gross motor skills of children and youth. Interrater reliability for the BOTMP is between .63 and .97, while the internal consistency ranges from .38 to .92 (Bruininks, 1978). Interrater reliability for the BOTMP-2 is >.90 for all subtests, except Fine Motor Precision ($r = .86$). Internal consistency has ranged from .60 to .92. (Bruininks & Bruininks, 2005). The other six studies all used different balance measures. One reviewed study (Sarabzadeh et al., 2019) used Balance scores from the Movement Assessment Battery for Children—2nd Edition (MABC-2; (Henderson et al., 2007)). The MABC-2 is designed to identify and describe impairments in motor performance of children and adolescents aged 3 through 16 years of age. Interrater reliability for the MABC-2 has ranged from .49 to .70 for three-year-old and .92 to 1 for adolescents (Brown & Lalor, 2009), while the internal consistency has been reported to be .90 (Wuang et al., 2012). Another study in this review (Arzoglou et al., 2013), used Körperkoordinationstest für Kinder (KTK; (Kiphard & Schilling, 2007). The KTK aims to assess neuromotor coordination with four subtests, one of which is balance when walking backwards, often used as an outcome measure of balance. Authors of this reviewed study only reported the reliability of this test ($r = .90$) and stated that it is one of the most reliable measures of neuromuscular coordination in both typical populations and in people with disabilities. In the reviewed study by Akyol and Pektas (2018), the authors used the Flamingo Balance Test, a part of Eurofit motor fitness tests, as a measure of balance (Eurofit, 1988). In this test, a child is required to stand on one leg on a metal beam for as long as possible, and the number of times the child is unable to hold balance for 1 minute is recorded. The test-retest reliability has ranged from .40 to .80 (Monyeki et al., 2005). In another reviewed study, (Cai et al., 2020) used a balance beam test from China's national physical fitness measurement. Reported reliability of this test depends on the

Table 2. Description of the Intervention Program.

Intervention	Authors	Description of the Activities	Program Duration
Trampoline training	Lourenço et al., 2015a	Participants were involved in different running exercises, jumping, joint mobilization, and assorted games. All training sessions were implemented and developed by a physical education teacher along with the help of an educational teacher's aide	20-week program One 45-minute Session per week
Trampoline training	Lourenço et al., 2015b	Participants were involved in different running exercises, jumping, joint mobilization and assorted games. All training sessions were implemented and developed by a physical education teacher along with the help of an educational teacher's aide	32-week program One 45-minute Session per week
The SPARK program	(Najafabadi et al., 2018)	SPARK—Sports, Play and Active Recreation for Kids program. The main focus is on developing cardio fitness through various activities. The activities are mostly aimed to develop abdominal and upper body strength. The skill-fitness activity part includes nine sports such as soccer, basketball, Frisbee games, football, and kickball that have the most potential for promoting cardiovascular fitness and well-being. In current study, two parts of the SPARK program were chosen: stabilities and displacement movements	12-week program 40 minutes per session Three sessions per week
Physical therapy program	El Shemy and El-Sayed, 2018	The training program included strengthening exercises for the trunk and extremities, balance training from different positions, stoop and recover from standing, facilitation of anticipatory mechanism, gait	12-week program 60 minutes per session Three sessions per week

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

Intervention	Authors	Description of the Activities	Program Duration
Tai Chi Chuan	Sarabzadeh et al., 2019	training through the usage of different obstacles, and ascending and descending stairs on alternate feet with gait training This protocol was designed to reinforce fundamental skills such as balance, physical fitness, body awareness, neural control, and proprioceptive coordination. Six simple and fundamental forms of Yang style were chosen from the original 24 forms, which were much easier and more comprehensible compared to the longer forms. During the training program, subjects were asked to imitate the instructor's movements and postures. Since the main focus of trainings was on breathing, mind and relaxation, the progress of each subject was monitored in each session	Six-week program 60 minutes per session Three sessions per week
Traditional Greek dances	Arzoglu et al., 2013	Learning the dance steps of four traditional Greek dances. Sessions were composed of stretch exercise, rhythm exercises, dance, practicing traditional dance, and free dance	Eight-week program Sessions of 35–45 minutes Three times a week
Gymnastics training combined with music	Akyol and Pektas, 2018	Gymnastics activities consist from front-to-back oscillation with music rhythm, stretching the back and leg muscles on the mat, movement of the lateral flexion, rotation, trampoline movement, one-step start and two-step fall, pull-up movement on sitting position, walking, running, stretching, jumping, sit-up, stand on one foot, walking on the balance board, jumping on the	16-week Session lasted 1.5–2 hours Two times a week

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

Intervention	Authors	Description of the Activities	Program Duration
Table tennis training	Pan et al., 2016	trampoline, reaching forwards and getting balls, throwing the ball, catch the ball, slalom, lifting arms and legs in crawling position on the mat, (unilateral, diagonal, reciprocal), right-left, front-back oscillations sitting on the exercise ball Participants were practicing technical skills specific to table tennis (e.g. basic forehand and backhand push strokes, serves, and returns) and body movement skills related to table tennis exercise (e.g. hand bounce, racquet bounce, footwork movement, body control, balance training, and hand-eye coordination)	12-week program Session lasted 70 minutes Two times a week
The mini-basketball training program	Cai et al., 2020	The training involved following activities: shuttle running, leapfrogging, duck walking, dribbling, passing, shooting, passing and catching the ball, relay racing, basketball-dribbling relay, basketball-passing relays, basket-moving shooting, etc.	12-week program Each session lasted 40 minutes Five sessions per week
Sport training	Huseyin, 2019	Participants were involved in practicing basic sports skills (balance, catching, throwing and skipping skills), psychomotor skills (walking up and down the stairs and jogging), gymnastics (eagle, single kneeling, tabletop, supported boat, side lunge poses), coordination (ability to complete three and five-skill stations), Cycling (cycling on balance wheels, cycling with training wheels, cycling without support) and life skills (dressing and undressing, opening and	12-week program Four hours per day Five days a week

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

Intervention	Authors	Description of the Activities	Program Duration
Simulated developmental horse-riding program	Wuang et al., 2010	closing hook-and-loop fasteners of shoes and tying shoelaces, zipping and unzipping skills were studied) The SDHRP was comprised of three sessions, with each session preceded by a warm-up. The first session involved simple limb movements and mat exercises, with the aim of increasing the child's body flexibility and motivation in learning. During the second session (mounted exercise), the child was instructed to ride on Joba® in different positions (sitting, prone, lying) to experience various horseback movements. Its purpose was to enhance the child's body awareness, sensitivity, and coordination by means of vestibular, proprioceptive, and kinesthetic inputs. At the third session, the therapist offered a game that the child can play on the Joba® for the purposes of strengthening sensory integrative, cognitive, and affective skills and developing interpersonal relations and self-directed behaviors through interactive play	20-week program Session lasted 60 minutes Two times a week
Exercise intervention	Rafie et al., 2017	Training protocol lasted for 10 weeks and each week had a different focus. For example, in week 1, participants were focused on balance activities, in week 2 the focus was on upper limbs dexterity and so on	10 weeks 45 minutes per sessions Three sessions per week
Gymnastic exercises	Zamani et al., 2017	Participants were required to do preliminary and basic gymnastic exercises	16 weeks

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

Intervention	Authors	Description of the Activities	Program Duration
Aquatic exercise intervention	Ansari et al., 2020	The aquatic program consisted of a pediatric aquatic exercise program combined with the Halliwick method. The base of this method is the mechanical principles designed for people with disabilities to move in the water without assistance. Each training session lasted 60 minutes, including a 5-minutes warm-up (walking, running, jumping jacks, and moving arm and leg), 15-minutes orientation training (Sagittal, transversal and longitudinal rotation), 20-minutes basic swimming skills (breathing, floating and stroke skills), 15-minutes free swim (group activities and playing with pool toys) and a 5-minutes cooldown.	Each session lasted 45 minutes Three sessions per week A 10-week aquatic exercise program 60-minutes per session Two sessions per week
Kata techniques program	Ansari et al., 2020	It consists of a series of blocking, punching, sticking, and kicking movements with explosive speed against an imaginary opponent. Participants move in several directions in space through a specific order. However, each movement has its own meaning and function. When performing a kata, karateka must imagine himself surrounded by opponents and be ready to perform defensive and offensive techniques in any direction. Each session lasted 30 minutes in the first two	10-week kata techniques program 60-minutes per session Two sessions per week

(continued)

Table 2. (continued)

Intervention	Authors	Description of the Activities	Program Duration
Taekwondo	Kim et al., 2016	weeks, 45 minutes in the second 2 weeks, and 60 minutes in the fifth to the 10th week, including 10 minutes of warm-up (jogging and stretching), 45 minutes of basic training (kata practice techniques), and 5 minutes of cooling down (such as warm-up). Warming up and cooling down stages were performed in groups, while a two-to-one ratio was performed in the main training phase Participants were involved in taekwondo program. The intervention program consisted of 10 minutes of warm-up, 20 minutes of block, punching, and kicking, 10 minutes of poomse, and 10 minutes of cooldown	Eight-week program 50 minutes per session Twice a week

age of the participant and, for the balance test, reliability has ranged from .33 in 3-year-olds to .68 in 5.5-year-olds (Fang & Ho, 2020). In the reviewed study by Ansari et al. (2020), the authors used two balance measures for both static and dynamic balance. For static balance, they used the Modified Stork Test (Johnson & Nelson, 1979), requiring participants to stand on a dominant leg; and they used the Heel-to-Toe walking test (Henderson & Sugden, 1992) to measure dynamic balance. These authors did not report any psychometric properties of the tests used, however, the literature indicates that psychometric properties of these instruments are satisfactory (Atwater et al., 1990; Gard & , 2009; Smits–Engelsman et al., 2011). In another reviewed study (Huseyin, 2019), two balance measures (for static and dynamic balance) were drawn from the Basic Sport Skills Assessment. The author (Huseyin, 2019) did not report the reference for this test and its psychometric properties, and we could not find any references regarding this test. Finally, in the reviewed study by Kim et al. (2016), authors used several measures of static and dynamic balance (eyes open, eyes closed, firm surface, and unstable surface) from the NeuroCom Balance Master. For purposes of our meta-analysis, we used mean static balance scores and mean dynamic balance scores.

In four studies (Ansari et al., 2020; Huseyin, 2019; Kim et al., 2016; Najafabadi et al., 2018), the authors reported both, static and dynamic balance scores, while in the

rest of the studies only one measure of balance was reported. Of note, in the study by [Ansari et al. \(2020\)](#) there were two intervention groups. Thus, in the 15 reviewed intervention studies analyzed in this paper, there were 21 different reported measures of balance.

Research Methodology

We used a modified version of the Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies ([Thomas et al., 2004](#)) to evaluate the quality of the methodology in these selected studies. This instrument has been said to have adequate content and construct validity ([Jackson et al., 2005](#)). Using this tool, we evaluated the studies in this review in relation to (a) Selection bias, (b) Study design, (c) Confounders, (d) Blinding, (e) Data collection methods, and (f) General impression. Given that the first two authors performed previous analysis (independent literature search, article comparison, removal of duplicates, and review of articles), the third and fourth author of this study independently assessed each reviewed study with the Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative Studies Dictionary and graded each of the domains above with 1 (strong), 2 (moderate), or 3 (weak). Domain scores were then averaged to provide a summary score for each study. Based on their summary score, studies were then assigned an overall quality rating of strong (1.00–1.50), moderate (1.51–2.50) or weak (2.51–3.00) ([Armijo-Olivo et al., 2012](#)). Joint agreement between these two authors on these scores is evident in [Table 3](#). Based on these assessments, seven studies were assessed as good quality and eight studies as moderate quality. It is important to note that only four studies used random allocation of participants into the intervention and control groups ([Ansari et al., 2020](#); [El Shemy & El-Sayed, 2018](#); [Najafabadi et al., 2018](#); [Pan et al., 2016](#)).

Statistical Analysis

As noted, pre-intervention and post-intervention scores (means and *SDs*) were provided in 12 studies for the participants included in the intervention. One study reported median and inter-quartile range for pre-intervention and post-intervention balance scores ([El Shemy & El-Sayed, 2018](#)). The scores obtained in this study were converted to mean scores (and *SDs*) according to the method described by Wan et al. ([Wan et al., 2014](#)). One study reported Cohen's *d* effect size ([Cohen, 2013](#)) and mean differences from pre-intervention to post-intervention scores without providing information for pre-intervention and post-intervention mean (and *SD*) balance scores ([Rafie et al., 2017](#)). Lastly, one study, provided post-intervention balance mean scores (and *SDs*) for the intervention and control group as the groups did not differ prior to intervention ([Zamani et al., 2017](#)).

We calculated a standardized mean difference using Hedges' *g* effect size with 95% CI to assess the magnitude of change across all studies from pre-intervention to post-intervention balance scores. Hedge's *g* measures the effect size for the difference between two means ([Rosenthal et al., 1994](#)). Hedge's *g* provides an estimate of effect

Table 3. A Summary Table of Authors' Judgment of the Reviewed Studies.

Authors	Year	Selection bias	Study design	Confounders	Blinding	Data collection methods	Withdrawals and drop-outs	General
Lourenço et al.	2015a							2,5
Lourenço et al.	2015b							2,5
Najafabadi et al.	2018							1,5
El Shemy & El-Sayed	2018							2,33
Sarabzadeh et al.	2019							1,83
Arzoglou et al.	2013							1,66
Akyol & Pektaş	2018							2,0
Pan et al.	2016							1,5
Cai et al.	2020							1,5
Huseyin	2019							2,16
Wuang et al.	2010							1,5
Rafie et al.	2017							1,5
Zamani et al.	2017							1,5
Ansari et al.	2020							1,5
Kim et al.	2016							2,16

= weak; = moderate; = strong

size similar to Cohen’s d but it is less reactive to small sample sizes (Hedges & Olkin, 2014). We also performed a Q test and an I² test of heterogeneity. All statistical analysis were performed with the computer program MedCalc for Windows, version 19 (MedCalc, 2020).

Results

According to the Q test and I² test we conducted, the reviewed studies were very heterogeneous (Q = 98.1, df = 20, p < .001; I² = 79.6% 95% CI [69.5, 86.4]). This result of heterogeneity was expected as the reviewed studies employed various methodologies and different outcome measures. Given that these studies were heterogeneous, we reported total random effects as they are more appropriate than the fixed effects model in most cases, especially when researchers want to make general conclusions about the researched topic (Field, 2001). Total random and fixed effects were calculated from 21 tests. The mean standardized difference is 1.82 (95% CI [1.34, 2.29]). The standardized mean difference score for each study is presented in Table 4.

The forest plot of effect sizes is presented in Figure 2.

Table 4. Standardized Mean Difference in Balance Scores from Pre-Intervention to Post-Intervention.

Study	N	SMD	SE	95% CI	t	p
Lourenço et al. (2015a)	6	1.709	0.637	0.290 to 3.128		
Lourenço et al. (2015b)	8	3.787	0.819	2.029 to 5.544		
Najafabadi et al. (2018—dyn)	12	1.214	0.431	0.319 to 2.109		
Najafabadi et al. (2018—stat)	—	0.836	0.412	−0.0190 to 1.691		
El Shemy and El-Sayed (2018)	15	0.988	0.377	0.215 to 1.761		
Sarabzadeh et al. (2019)	9	4.364	0.855	2.552 to 6.176		
Arzoglou et al. (2013)	5	1.740	0.691	0.147 to 3.333		
Akyol and Pektas (2018)	15	1.866	0.429	0.987 to 2.746		
Pan et al. (2016)	11	0.949	0.434	0.0427 to 1.855		
Cai et al. (2020)	15	0.750	0.368	−0.00434 to 1.504		
Huseyin (2019- dyn)	16	1.649	0.402	0.829 to 2.469		
Huseyin (2019- stat)	—	1.722	0.406	0.892 to 2.551		
Wuang et al. (2010)	30	4.715	0.500	3.713 to 5.716		
Rafie et al. (2017)	10	1.623	0.499	0.574 to 2.672		
Zamani et al. (2017)	15	1.548	0.408	0.713 to 2.383		
Ansari et al. (2020—aqua-dyn)	10	1.794	0.514	0.714 to 2.873		
Ansari et al. (2020—aqua-stat)	—	1.655	0.502	0.601 to 2.710		
Ansari et al. (2020—kata-dyn)	10	4.718	0.860	2.911 to 6.525		
Ansari et al. (2020—kata-stat)	—	2.344	0.566	1.154 to 3.533		
Kim et al. (2016- stat)	8	0.514	0.481	−0.519 to 1.546		
Kim et al. (2016- dyn)	—	0.372	0.477	−0.652 to 1.395		
Total (fixed effects)	195	1.590	0.105	1.385 to 1.796	15.174	<0.001
Total (random effects)	195	1.823	0.238	1.356 to 2.289	7.670	<0.001

All the reviewed studies in this paper showed a large, positive intervention effect on these participants' balance with a mean standardized pre-post-test difference of 1.82. The mean standardized difference of the effect was medium to large in all studies and ranged from 0.37 (Kim et al., 2016) to 4.72 (Ansari et al., 2020). In total, the 95% CI included zero effect in four intervention studies (Cai et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2016; Najafabadi et al., 2018). In a study by Kim et al. (2016), the 95% CI included zero effect for both static and dynamic balance, while in a study by Najafabadi et al. (2018), the 95% CI zero effect was present for static balance only.

Discussion

Our goal in the present meta-analytic review was to examine the effects of exercise- and sports-based intervention studies on improving balance among children and adolescents with ASD. Balance has been the intervention target in efforts to assist children with other developmental disabilities as well. Exercise-based training has had a positive




Figure 2. Standardized mean difference of balance scores from pre-intervention to post-intervention. Note. Vertical line drawn at 1.82 SD (Standardized mean difference of random effects).

effect on balance in children with intellectual disability (Giagazoglou et al., 2013), children with Attention-deficit Hyperactivity Disorder or ADHD (Smith et al., 2011), children with hearing-impairment (Lewis et al., 1985), and children with cerebral palsy (Dewar et al., 2015).

Evidence of exercise benefits for physical and psychosocial gains in children with developmental disabilities dates to more than two decades ago (Dykens et al., 1998; Gabler-Halle et al., 1993). These effects were especially evident for health-related physical fitness, including cardiovascular endurance (Chanias et al., 1998). In addition, many exercise-based interventions have been found to be efficacious for improving balance in children with ASD. However, as the intervention protocols were not homogeneous and the exercises within these programs differed, it has been difficult to pinpoint “the best” intervention. In our review, Kata techniques (Ansari et al., 2020) and Tai Chi (Sarabzadeh et al., 2019) produced large, positive effects, but a Taekwondo (Kim et al., 2016) study produced a medium effect. However, benefits of martial arts go

beyond the motor skills. Studies of martial arts have generally shown its efficacy for many other developmental domains such as executive functions (Diamond & Lee, 2011). These effects of martial arts on executive functions were also evident in children with ASD (Phung & Goldberg, 2019). Martial arts have had positive effects in other domains as well, such as for self-regulation (Lakes & Hoyt, 2004), and for overall well-being (Moore et al., 2019).

Hippotherapy is a therapeutic modality with known positive effects. It has been successfully used for balance improvement in many conditions, such as intellectual disability (Giagazoglou et al., 2012), multiple sclerosis (Bronson et al., 2010), and chronic brain disorders (Sunwoo et al., 2012). In this review, a large effect was also noted for a simulated horse-riding program (Wuang et al., 2012). Previous studies have shown that riding simulators can be a useful alternative to hippotherapy in improving the balance in children with cerebral palsy (Lee et al., 2014). Riding simulators can also be an option for children with ASD to reduce the risk of injury and also an option for some children who do not respond adequately to horses (Memishevikj & Hodzhikj, 2010).

Limitations and Directions for Further Research

A major limitation of previously conducted studies reviewed here has been their small sample sizes and relatively poor methodological quality, particularly including a failure to have randomly assigned participants to control and exercise conditions, opening the possibility that the strong positive results on motor skills and balance associated with exercise-based interventions for children with ASD may not generalize to all children with ASD. Exercise participants in past studies may have been those children with ASD who self-selected an exercise- or sports-based intervention. Children or families who were less attracted to exercise interventions may not benefit to the same degree. Future research must utilize cross-over research designs and random assignment to groups to put this caveat to rest. Of course, there are other reasons to question the generalizability of these positive impressions of exercise-based interventions in past ASD research. Most of the studies we reviewed gave limited or no information on the severity of ASD symptoms. Given that ASD is a very heterogeneous disorder, the results of this meta-analysis may not be applicable to children at all severity levels.

Thus, further methodological improvements in future research on balance training for children with ASD include (a) providing more detail regarding diagnostic method, (b) reporting symptom severity from a standardized scale, (c) reporting more participant characteristics in both intervention and control groups (i.e., age range, mean age [and *SDs*] and gender), (d) provide means (and *SDs*) for participants' balance scores in both experimental and control groups, and (e) provide sufficient intervention detail for others to replicate the research.

Another limitation of this review is related to publication bias. We may have encountered difficulty identifying relevant studies with null effects, due to publication bias that tends to favor articles reporting statistically significant intervention effects.

This type of limitation is pertinent to most meta-analytic reviews. Also, we had to exclude some studies, as we had no way of knowing their mean pre-intervention and post-intervention balance scores.

Conclusion

In this meta-analytic review of 15 prior studies that focused particularly on balance measures and relied upon diverse types of intervention programs, we identified large positive effects of exercise-based interventions on improving balance in children and adolescents with ASD. These findings have many implications for professionals in the field, including occupational therapists, special education teachers, physical education teachers, and physiotherapists. These professionals should be aware of the benefits of these exercises for children and adolescents with ASD and they should use these methods in their everyday practice to help them maintain a healthy lifestyle and build better social interactions (Mayrena et al., 2021). As stated earlier, balance improvements are will probably generalize to other domains of a child's functioning, especially with regard to adaptive behavior.

With regard to future research in this domain, it should also be noted that we identified number of methodological weaknesses in the studies we reviewed, and we have provided specific recommendations to address them. Small sample sizes, failure to randomly assign participants to groups, insufficient detail about diagnostic method and assessment instruments, ASD severity, intervention content, participant characteristics, and mean scores or effect sizes on outcome measures serve, collectively, to weaken the impact of this research and should be addressed by future investigators.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by Ministarstvo Prosvete, Nauke i Tehnološkog Razvoja grant number 179017.

ORCID iD

Haris Memisevic  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7340-3618>

References

- Akyol, B. I., & Pektas, S. I. (2018). The effects of gymnastics training combined with music in children with autism spectrum disorder and down syndrome. *International Education Studies*, 11(11), 45–51. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v11n11p46>

- Ament, K., Mejia, A., Buhlman, R., Erklin, S., Caffo, B., Mostofsky, S., & Wodka, E. (2015). Evidence for specificity of motor impairments in catching and balance in children with autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 45(3), 742–751. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2229-0>
- Ansari, S., Hosseinkhanzadeh, A. A., AdibSaber, F., Shojaei, M., & Daneshfar, A. (2020). The effects of aquatic versus kata techniques training on static and dynamic balance in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 51(9), 3180–3186. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-020-04785-w>
- Armijo-Olivo, S., Stiles, C. R., Hagen, N. A., Biondo, P. D., & Cummings, G. G. (2012). Assessment of study quality for systematic reviews: A comparison of the cochrane collaboration risk of bias tool and the effective public health practice project quality assessment tool: Methodological research. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice*, 18(1), 12–18. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2010.01516.x>
- Arzoglou, D., Tsimaras, V., Kotsikas, G., Fotiadou, E., Sidiropoulou, M., Proios, M., & Bassa, E. (2013). The effect of [alpha] tradinional dance training program on neuromuscular coordination of individuals with autism. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 13(4), 563–569. <https://doi.org/10.7752/jpes.2013.04088>
- Atwater, S. W., Crowe, T. K., Deitz, J. C., & Richardson, P. K. (1990). Interrater and test-retest reliability of two pediatric balance tests. *Physical Therapy*, 70(2), 79–87. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ptj/70.2.79>
- Baio, J., Wiggins, L., Christensen, D. L., Maenner, M. J., Daniels, J., & Warren, Z. (2018). Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years - autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2014. *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. Surveillance Summaries (Washington, D.C: 2002)*, 67(6), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.ss6706a1>
- Ben-Sasson, A., Hen, L., Fluss, R., Cermak, S. A., Engel-Yeger, B., & Gal, E. (2009). A meta-analysis of sensory modulation symptoms in individuals with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 39(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-008-0593-3>
- Berkeley, S. L., Zittel, L. L., Pitney, L. V., & Nichols, S. E. (2001). Locomotor and object control skills of children diagnosed with autism. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 18(4), 405. <https://doi.org/10.1123/apaq.18.4.405>
- Bressel, E., Yonker, J. C., Kras, J., & Heath, E. M. (2007). Comparison of static and dynamic balance in female collegiate soccer, basketball, and gymnastics athletes. *Journal of Athletic Training*, 42(1), 42–46.
- Bronson, C., Brewerton, K., Ong, J., Palanca, C., & Sullivan, S. J. (2010). Does hippotherapy improve balance in persons with multiple sclerosis: A systematic review. *European Journal of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine*, 46(3), 347–353. <http://europepmc.org/abstract/MED/20927000>
- Brown, T., & Lalor, A. (2009). The movement assessment battery for children—second edition (MABC-2): A review and critique. *Physical & Occupational Therapy in Pediatrics*, 29(1), 86–103. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01942630802574908>

- Bruininks, R. H. (1978). *Bruininks-Oseretsky test of motor proficiency*. American Guidance Service Circle Pines.
- Bruininks, R. H., & Bruininks, B. D. (2005). *BOT2: Bruininks-Oseretsky test of motor proficiency*. Pearson, Assessments.
- Cai, K.-L., Wang, J.-G., Liu, Z.-M., Zhu, L.-N., Xiong, X., & Klich, S. (2020). Mini-basketball training program improves physical fitness and social communication in preschool children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Human Kinetics*, 73(1), 267–278. <https://doi.org/10.2478/hukin-2020-0007>
- Case-Smith, J., Weaver, L. L., & Fristad, M. A. (2014). A systematic review of sensory processing interventions for children with autism spectrum disorders. *Autism*, 19(2), 133–148. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361313517762>
- Casey, A. F., Quenneville-Himbeault, G., Normore, A., Davis, H., & Martell, S. G. (2015). A therapeutic skating intervention for children with autism spectrum disorder. *Pediatric Physical Therapy*, 27(2), 170–177. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PEP.0000000000000139>
- Chanias, A. K., Reid, G., & Hoover, M. L. (1998). Exercise effects on health-related physical fitness of individuals with an intellectual disability: A meta-analysis. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 15(2), 119. <https://doi.org/10.1123/apaq.15.2.119>
- Cheldavi, H., Shakerian, S., Shetab Boshehri, S. N., & Zarghami, M. (2014). The effects of balance training intervention on postural control of children with autism spectrum disorder: Role of sensory information. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 8(1), 8–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2013.09.016>
- Choi, B., Leech, K. A., Tager-Flusberg, H., & Nelson, C. A. (2018). Development of fine motor skills is associated with expressive language outcomes in infants at high and low risk for autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Neurodevelopmental Disorders*, 10(1), 14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s11689-018-9231-3>
- Cinelli, B., & Depaepe, J. L. (1984). Dynamic balance of learning disabled and nondisabled children. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 58(1), 243–245. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.1984.58.1.243>
- Cohen, J. (2013). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Academic Press.
- Constantino, J. N., & Gruber, C. P. (2012). *Social responsiveness scale: SRS-2*. Western Psychological Services Torrance.
- Davlin, C. D. (2004). Dynamic balance in high level athletes. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 98(Suppl 3), 1171–1176. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.98.3c.1171-1176>
- Dewar, R., Love, S., & Johnston, L. M. (2015). Exercise interventions improve postural control in children with cerebral palsy: A systematic review. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 57(6), 504–520. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dmcn.12660>
- Diamond, A., & Lee, K. (2011). Interventions shown to aid executive function development in children 4 to 12 years old. *Science*, 333(6045), 959–964. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1204529>
- Dykens, E. M., Rosner, B. A., & Butterbaugh, G. (1998). Exercise and sports in children and adolescents with developmental disabilities: Positive physical and psychosocial effects. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 7(4), 757–771. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1056-4993\(18\)30210-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1056-4993(18)30210-4)

- Ehlers, S., & Gillberg, C. (1993). The epidemiology of asperger syndrome. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 34(8), 1327–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7610.1993.tb02094.x>
- El Shemy, S. A., & El-Sayed, M. S. (2018). The impact of auditory rhythmic cueing on gross motor skills in children with autism. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 30(8), 1063–1068. <https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.30.1063>
- Eurofit. (1988). *European tests of physical fitness*. Council of Europe, Committee for the Development of Sport.
- Fang, Q., Aiken, C. A., Fang, C., & Pan, Z. (2018). Effects of exergaming on physical and cognitive functions in individuals with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. *Games for Health Journal*, 8(2), 74–84. <https://doi.org/10.1089/g4h.2018.0032>
- Fang, H., & Ho, I. M. K. (2020). Intraday reliability, sensitivity, and minimum detectable change of national physical fitness measurement for preschool children in China. *PLOS ONE*, 15(11), e0242369. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242369>
- Field, A. P. (2001). Meta-analysis of correlation coefficients: A Monte Carlo comparison of fixed- and random-effects methods. *Psychological Methods*, 6(2), 161–180. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.6.2.161>
- Fournier, K. A., Hass, C. J., Naik, S. K., Lodha, N., & Cauraugh, J. H. (2010). Motor coordination in autism spectrum disorders: A synthesis and meta-analysis. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40(10), 1227–1240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-010-0981-3>
- Gabler-Halle, D., Halle, J. W., & Chung, Y. B. (1993). The effects of aerobic exercise on psychological and behavioral variables of individuals with developmental disabilities: A critical review. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 14(5), 359–386. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-4222\(93\)90009-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-4222(93)90009-9)
- Gard, L., & Rösblad, B. (2009). The qualitative motor observations in Movement ABC: Aspects of reliability and validity. *Advances in Physiotherapy*, 11(2), 51–57. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14038190902792346>
- Giagazoglou, P., Arabatzi, F., Dipla, K., Liga, M., & Kellis, E. (2012). Effect of a hippotherapy intervention program on static balance and strength in adolescents with intellectual disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 33(6), 2265–2270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2012.07.004>
- Giagazoglou, P., Kokaridas, D., Sidiropoulou, M., Patsiaouras, A., Karra, C., & Neofotistou, K. (2013). Effects of a trampoline exercise intervention on motor performance and balance ability of children with intellectual disabilities. *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 34(9), 2701–2707. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2013.05.034>
- Gilliam, J. (2006). *GARS-2: Gilliam autism rating scale* (2nd ed.). PRO-ED.
- Graham, S. A., Abbott, A. E., Nair, A., Lincoln, A. J., Müller, R.-A., & Goble, D. J. (2015). The influence of task difficulty and participant age on balance control in ASD. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 45(5), 1419–1427. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-014-2303-7>
- Hedges, L. V., & Olkin, I. (2014). *Statistical methods for meta-analysis*. Academic Press.
- Henderson, S. E., & Sugden, D. A. (1992). *Movement assessment battery for children*. The Psychological Corporation.

- Henderson, S. E., Sugden, D. A., & Barnett, A. L. (2007). *Movement assessment battery for children* (Vol. 26). Harcourt Assessment London.
- Howe, T. E., Rochester, L., Neil, F., Skelton, D. A., & Ballinger, C. (2011). Exercise for improving balance in older people. *Cochrane database of systematic reviews*, (11), CD004963.
- Huseyin, O. (2019). The impact of sport activities on basic motor skills of children with autism. *Pedagogics, Psychology, Medical-Biological Problems of Physical Training and Sports*, 23(3), 138–143. <https://doi.org/10.15561/18189172.2019.0305>
- Jackson, N., & Waters, E., Promotion for the Guidelines for Systematic Reviews in Health, Public Health Taskforce. (2005). Criteria for the systematic review of health promotion and public health interventions. *Health Promotion International*, 20(4), 367–374. <https://doi.org/10.1093/heapro/dai022>
- Jasmin, E., Couture, M., McKinley, P., Reid, G., Fombonne, E., & Gisel, E. (2009). Sensorimotor and daily living skills of preschool children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 39(2), 231–241. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-008-0617-z>
- Johnson, B. L., & Nelson, J. K. (1979). *Practical measurements for evaluation in physical education*. Burgess.
- Kim, Y., Todd, T., Fujii, T., Lim, J.-C., Vrongistinos, K., & Jung, T. (2016). Effects of taekwondo intervention on balance in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Exercise Rehabilitation*, 12(4), 314–319. <https://doi.org/10.12965/jer.1632634.317>
- Kiphard, E., & Schilling, F. (2007). *Körperkoordinationstest für Kinder. Überarbeitete und ergänzte Auflage*. Beltz Test GmbH.
- Lakes, K. D., & Hoyt, W. T. (2004). Promoting self-regulation through school-based martial arts training. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 25(3), 283–302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appdev.2004.04.002>
- Lee, C.-W., Kim, S. G., & Na, S. S. (2014). The effects of hippotherapy and a horse riding simulator on the balance of children with cerebral palsy. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 26(3), 423–425. <https://doi.org/10.1589/jpts.26.423>
- Lewis, S., Higham, L., & Cherry, D. B. (1985). Development of an exercise program to improve the static and dynamic balance of profoundly hearing-impaired children. *American Annals of the Deaf*, 130(4), 278–284. <https://doi.org/10.1353/aad.2012.1020>
- Lord, C., Elsabbagh, M., Baird, G., & Veenstra-Vanderweele, J. (2018). Autism spectrum disorder. *Lancet*, 392(10146), 508–520. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31129-2](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31129-2)
- Lourenço, C., Esteves, D., Corredeira, R., & Seabra, A. (2015a). Children with autism spectrum disorder and trampoline training. *Wulfenia Journal*, 22(5), 342–351.
- Lourenço, C., Esteves, D., Corredeira, R., & Seabra, A. (2015b). The effect of a trampoline-based training program on the muscle strength of the inferior limbs and motor proficiency in children with autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 15(3), 592–597. <https://doi.org/10.7752/jpes.2015.03089>
- MacDonald, M., Lord, C., & Ulrich, D. (2013). The relationship of motor skills and adaptive behavior skills in young children with autism spectrum disorders. *Research in Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 7(11), 1383–1390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2013.07.020>

- Mahmoodifar, E., & Sotoodeh, M. S. (2019). Combined transcranial direct current stimulation and selective motor training enhances balance in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 127(1), 113–125. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0031512519888072>
- Mayrena, I. H., Kevin, M. B., Dan, A. S., Eric, G. P., David, R. B., & Brooks, M. A. (2021). Different perceptions of parents and children on factors influencing sport specialization. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation*, 30(2), 190–197. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsr.2019-0357>
- Mazefsky, C. A., Williams, D. L., & Minshew, N. J. (2008). Variability in adaptive behavior in autism: Evidence for the importance of family history. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 36(4), 591–599. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10802-007-9202-8>
- MedCalc. (2020). *MedCalc*, v.19. MedCalc Statistical Software.
- Memari, A. H., Ghanouni, P., Shayestehfar, M., & Ghaheri, B. (2014). Postural control impairments in individuals with autism spectrum disorder: A critical review of current literature. *Asian journal of sports medicine*, 5(3), e22963. <https://doi.org/10.5812/asjrm.22963>
- Memisevic, H., & Djordjevic, M. (2018). Visual-motor integration in children with mild intellectual disability: A meta-analysis. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 125(4), 696–717. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0031512518774137>
- Memishevikj, H., & Hodzhikj, S. (2010). The effects of equine-assisted therapy in improving the psycho-social functioning of children with autism. *Journal of Special Education and Rehabilitation*, 11(3–4), 57–67.
- Minshew, N. J., Sung, K., Jones, B. L., & Furman, J. M. (2004a). Underdevelopment of the postural control system in autism. *Neurology*, 63(11), 2056–2061. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.Wnl.0000145771.98657.62>
- Minshew, N. J., Sung, K., Jones, B. L., & Furman, J. M. (2004b). Underdevelopment of the postural control system in autism. *Neurology*, 63(11), 2056. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.WNL.0000145771.98657.62>
- Monyeki, M. A., Koppes, L. L. J., Kemper, H. C. G., Monyeki, K. D., Toriola, A. L., Pienaar, A. E., & Twisk, J. W. R. (2005). Body composition and physical fitness of undernourished South African rural primary school children. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 59(7), 877–883. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602153>
- Moore, B., Woodcock, S., & Dudley, D. (2019). Developing wellbeing through a randomised controlled trial of a martial arts based intervention: An alternative to the anti-bullying approach. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(1), 81. <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/16/1/81>
- Najafabadi, M. G., Sheikh, M., Hemayattalab, R., Memari, A.-H., Aderyani, M. R., & Hafizi, S. (2018). The effect of SPARK on social and motor skills of children with autism. *Pediatrics & Neonatology*, 59(5), 481–487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedneo.2017.12.005>
- Pan, C.-Y., Chu, C.-H., Tsai, C.-L., Sung, M.-C., Huang, C.-Y., & Ma, W.-Y. (2016). The impacts of physical activity intervention on physical and cognitive outcomes in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Autism*, 21(2), 190–202. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361316633562>
- Pfeiffer, B. A., Koenig, K., Kinnealey, M., Sheppard, M., & Henderson, L. (2011). Effectiveness of sensory integration interventions in children with autism spectrum disorders: A pilot

- study. *American Journal of Occupational Therapy*, 65(1), 76–85. <https://doi.org/10.5014/ajot.2011.09205>
- Phung, J. N., & Goldberg, W. A. (2019). Promoting executive functioning in children with autism spectrum disorder through mixed martial arts training. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 49(9), 3669–3684. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-019-04072-3>
- Pollock, A. S., Durward, B. R., Rowe, P. J., & Paul, J. P. (2000). What is balance? *Clinical Rehabilitation*, 14(4), 402–406. <https://doi.org/10.1191/0269215500cr342oa>
- Radonovich, K., Fournier, K., & Hass, C. (2013). Relationship between postural control and restricted, repetitive behaviors in autism spectrum disorders [original research]. *Frontiers in Integrative Neuroscience*, 7(28), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnint.2013.00028>
- Rafie, F., Ghasemi, A., Zamani Jam, A., & Jalali, S. (2017). Effect of exercise intervention on the perceptual-motor skills in adolescents with autism. *The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness*, 57(1–2), 53–59. <https://doi.org/10.23736/s0022-4707.16.05919-3>
- Ragnarsdóttir, M. (1996). The concept of balance. *Physiotherapy*, 82(6), 368–375. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9406\(05\)66484-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9406(05)66484-X)
- Ricotti, L. (2011). Static and dynamic balance in young athletes [static balance; dynamic balance; COP; children training; young athletes; postural performances], 6(4), 13. <https://doi.org/10.4100/jhse.2011.64.05>
- Rosenthal, R., Cooper, H., & Hedges, L. (1994). Parametric measures of effect size. *The Handbook of Research Synthesis*, 621(2), 231–244.
- Rudolf, M., Vidmar, G., & Goljar, N. (2020). A comparison of three balance-assessment scales for patients after stroke with various levels of balance disorder. *International Journal of Rehabilitation Research*, 43(4), 337–341. https://journals.lww.com/intjrehabilres/Fulltext/2020/12000/A_comparison_of_three_balance_assessment_scales.8.aspx
- Ruggeri, A., Dancel, A., Johnson, R., & Sargent, B. (2019). The effect of motor and physical activity intervention on motor outcomes of children with autism spectrum disorder: A systematic review. *Autism*, 24(3), 544–568. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361319885215>
- Sarabzadeh, M., Azari, B. B., & Helalizadeh, M. (2019). The effect of six weeks of Tai Chi Chuan training on the motor skills of children with Autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Bodywork and Movement Therapies*, 23(2), 284–290. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbmt.2019.01.007>
- Schopler, E., Reichler, J., & Renner, B. (1988). *The childhood autism rating scale (CARS)*. Western Psychological Services.
- Smith, A. L., Hoza, B., Linnea, K., McQuade, J. D., Tomb, M., Vaughn, A. J., Shoulberg, E. K., & Hook, H. (2011). Pilot physical activity intervention reduces severity of ADHD symptoms in young children. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 17(1), 70–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1087054711417395>
- Smits-Engelsman, B. C. M., Niemeijer, A. S., & van Waelvelde, H. (2011). Is the movement assessment battery for children-2nd edition a reliable instrument to measure motor performance in 3 year old children? *Research in Developmental Disabilities*, 32(4), 1370–1377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ridd.2011.01.031>
- Somogyi, E., Kapitány, E., Kenyeres, K., Donauer, N., Fagard, J., & Kónya, A. (2016). Visual feedback increases postural stability in children with autism spectrum disorder. *Research in*

- Autism Spectrum Disorders*, 29–30 (Sep–Oct 2016), 48–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rasd.2016.06.001>
- Staples, K. L., & Reid, G. (2010). Fundamental movement skills and autism spectrum disorders. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 40(2), 209–217. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-009-0854-9>
- Stins, J. F., & Emck, C. (2018). Balance performance in autism: A brief overview [mini review]. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 9, 901. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.00901>
- Stone, W. L., Ousley, O. Y., Hepburn, S. L., Hogan, K. L., & Brown, C. S. (1999). Patterns of adaptive behavior in very young children with autism. *American Journal on Mental Retardation*, 104(2), 187–199. [https://doi.org/10.1352/0895-8017\(1999\)104<0187:Poabiv>2.0.Co;2](https://doi.org/10.1352/0895-8017(1999)104<0187:Poabiv>2.0.Co;2)
- Sunwoo, H., Chang, W. H., Kwon, J.-Y., Kim, T.-W., Lee, J.-Y., & Kim, Y.-H. (2012). Hip-therapy in adult patients with chronic brain disorders: a pilot study. *Annals of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 36(6), 756–761. <https://doi.org/10.5535/arm.2012.36.6.756>
- Thomas, B. H., Ciliska, D., Dobbins, M., & Micucci, S. (2004). A process for systematically reviewing the literature: Providing the research evidence for public health nursing interventions. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 1(3), 176–184. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1524-475X.2004.04006.x>
- Travers, B. G., Mason, A. H., Mrotek, L. A., Ellertson, A., Dean, D. C., Engel, C., Gomez, A., Dadalko, O. I., & McLaughlin, K. (2018). Biofeedback-based, videogame balance training in autism. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 48(1), 163–175. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-017-3310-2>
- Vernazza-Martin, S., Martin, N., Vernazza, A., Lepellec-Muller, A., Rufo, M., Massion, J., & Assaïante, C. (2005). Goal directed locomotion and balance control in autistic children. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 35(1), 91–102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-004-1037-3>
- Wan, X., Wang, W., Liu, J., & Tong, T. (2014). Estimating the sample mean and standard deviation from the sample size, median, range and/or interquartile range. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 14(1), 135. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-14-135>
- Whyatt, C. P., & Craig, C. M. (2012). Motor skills in children aged 7–10 years, diagnosed with autism spectrum disorder. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, 42(9), 1799–1809. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-011-1421-8>
- Winter, D. A. (1995). Human balance and posture control during standing and walking. *Gait & Posture*, 3(4), 193–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0966-6362\(96\)82849-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0966-6362(96)82849-9)
- Wuang, Y.-P., Su, J.-H., & Su, C.-Y. (2012). Reliability and Responsiveness of the movement assessment battery for children—second edition test in Children with Developmental Coordination Disorder. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*, 54(2), 160–165. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8749.2011.04177.x>
- Wuang, Y.-P., Wang, C.-C., Huang, M.-H., & Su, C.-Y. (2010). The effectiveness of simulated developmental horse-riding program in children with autism. *Adapted Physical Activity Quarterly*, 27(2), 113. <https://doi.org/10.1123/apaq.27.2.113>

- Yin, C. L. C., & Yin, T. K. (2019). A review on the efficacy of physical therapy intervention on motor skills of children with autism spectrum disorder. In 3rd International Conference on Special Education (ICSE 2019), Atlantis Press.
- Zamani, A., Talab, R. H., Sheikh, M., & Torabi, F. (2017). The effect of gymnastic exercises on motor skills in autistic children. *Indian Journal of Public Health Research & Development*, 8(4), 99–103. <https://doi.org/10.5958/0976-5506.2017.00322.9>